



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



1 K

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/423,858	02/25/2000	MAIWENN BONNET	32143-152042	7234
26694 75	590 10/08/2002			
VENABLE, BAETJER, HOWARD AND CIVILETTI, LLP			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 34385 WASHINGTON, DC 20043-9998		LEWIS, RALPH A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3732	
			DATE MAIL ED: 10/08/2002)

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

Advisory Action

Application No. 09/423,858

Applicant(s)

BONNET et al

Examiner

Ralph Lewis

Art Unit 3732

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Therefore rejection	FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. ore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final on under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for once; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination on compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]
, 6	
a) [The state of the s
exte app set mai	ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate ension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The ropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ling date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. 🗆	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. X	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) 🗓	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) [they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) (they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) [they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
ſ	NOTE: New issues in claim 1, "variable thickness," "without the use of revolution upon an axis" and "has a shape
	as close as possible. The complete change in what is being claimed in claim 28 and new claim 41 all
3. 🗆	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
3. 🗆	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
3. 🗆	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) Would be allowable if submitted in
4. 🗆	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) □ affidavit, b) □ exhibit, or c) □ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the
4. 🗆	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) □ affidavit, b) □ exhibit, or c) □ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the
4.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised
4. □ 5. □ 6. □	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s)
4. □ 5. □ 6. □	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejections: Newly proposed or amended claim(s)
4. □ 5. □ 6. □	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejections: Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
4. □ 5. □ 6. □	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejections: Newly proposed or amended claim(s)
4. □ 5. □ 6. □	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejections: Newly proposed or amended claim(s)
4. □ 5. □ 6. □	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejections: Newly proposed or amended claim(s)
4.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejections: Newly proposed or amended claim(s)